#### STOR566: Introduction to Deep Learning Lecture 14: Adversarial Attack

Yao Li UNC Chapel Hill

Oct 11, 2022

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < 壹 ▶ < 壹 ▶ < 壹 ▶ ○ ♀ ∩ 1/29

### Machine Learning Systems



### Testing: Robustness and Safety

#### ML systems need to interact with real world





• Robustness and Safety

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の Q @ 4/29

















A carefully crafted adversarial example can easily fool a deep network

• Robustness is critical in real systems



• Robustness is critical in real systems



# Not safe!

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 壹 ▶ < 壹 ▶ < 壹 ▶ ○ ♀ ○ 6/29

BAE attack on IMDB	
Groundtruth Label changed : Positive $ ightarrow$ Negative	
Original	This film offers many delights and surprises.
Attacked	This beautiful movie offers many pleasant de-
	lights and surprises.

Table: BERT-based Adversarial Examples for Text Classification on IMDB dataset. (Replacements: Red, Inserts: Blue)

< □ ▶ < ፼ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ Ξ - りへで 7/29

# Adversarial Attack

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### Notations and Attack Procedure





- Untargeted attack:  $y^* \neq Bagel$
- **2** Targeted attack: For target class t = Piano, the attacker wants  $y^* =$  Piano

< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ Ξ り ♥ ♥ 10/29

# Gradient-based Attack

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ○ Q ○ 11/29

#### Attack as Optimization

#### Attack as an optimization problem:

- ullet Given prediction model with fixed parameter  ${\pmb \theta}$
- $(x_0, y_0)$ : input image and label

$$egin{aligned} &\delta = rg\max_{oldsymbol{\delta}\in\mathcal{S}} \textit{L}(oldsymbol{ heta}, oldsymbol{x}_0 + oldsymbol{\delta}, y_0) \ &oldsymbol{x}^* = oldsymbol{x}_0 + oldsymbol{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

- L: loss function training the classifier
- $\delta$ : adversarial perturbation
- $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ : allowed perturbation set, usually chosen to be  $\{\delta | \|\delta\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon\}$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ♪ ■ の へ <sup>0</sup> 12/29

#### FGSM and PGD

#### Fast gradient sign method (FGSM):

• One-step gradient ascent:

$$\boldsymbol{x}^* = \boldsymbol{x}_0 + \epsilon \cdot \operatorname{sign} \left( \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{y}) \right)$$
(1)

#### Projected Gradient Descent Attack (PGD):

• Multiple-steps gradient ascent:

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1} = \Pi_{\epsilon} \left\{ \boldsymbol{x}^{t} + \alpha \cdot \operatorname{sign} \left( \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}^{t}, \boldsymbol{y}) \right), \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \right\}$$
(2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ■▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ● ■ ● ○ Q ○ 13/29

 $\begin{aligned} &\Pi_{\epsilon}(\cdot, \textbf{\textit{x}}_{0}) \text{: projection to the set } \{\textbf{\textit{x}} | \|\textbf{\textit{x}} - \textbf{\textit{x}}_{0}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon \} \\ &\alpha \text{: step size} \end{aligned}$ 

### Carlini and Wagner Attack (C&W Attack)

Given model  $f(\cdot)$  and input image and label  $(x_0, y_0)$ Craft adversarial example by solving

$$\underset{m{x}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|m{x} - m{x}_0\|^2 + \lambda \cdot m{g}(m{x})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ■▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ● ■ の Q ○ 14/29

(Carlini et al., 2017)

## C&W Attack

Given model  $f(\cdot)$  and input image and label  $(x_0, y_0)$ Craft adversarial example by solving

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{x}}{\arg\min} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_0\|^2 + \lambda \cdot \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ○ Q ○ 15/29

•  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|^2$ : the distortion

(Carlini et al., 2017)

### C&W Attack

Given model  $f(\cdot)$  and input image and label  $(x_0, y_0)$ Craft adversarial example by solving

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{x}}{\arg\min} \|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_0\|^2 + \lambda \cdot \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ♪ ■ のへで 16/29

- $\| \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}_0 \|_2^2$ : the distortion
- $g(\mathbf{x})$ : loss to measure the successfulness of attack
- $\lambda \ge 0$ : controls the trade-off

(Carlini et al., 2017)

#### Untargeted attack



• g(x): loss to measure the successfulness of attack

Untargeted attack: success if  $\arg \max_i f_i(\mathbf{x}) \neq y_0$ 

$$g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \max\{f_{y_0}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \max_{\substack{j \neq y_0}} f_j(\boldsymbol{x}), 0\}$$

#### Targeted attack



• g(x): loss to measure the successfulness of attack

Targeted attack: success if arg max<sub>j</sub>  $f_j(\mathbf{x}) = t$ 

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{\max_{j \neq t} f_j(\mathbf{x}) - f_t(\mathbf{x}), 0\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のへで 18/29

## Gradient-Based (White-box) Setting

Model (network structure and weights) is revealed to attacker
 ⇒ gradient of g(x) (or ∇<sub>x</sub>L(θ, x<sup>t</sup>, y)) can be computed by back-propagation

 $\Rightarrow$  attacker searches for  $x^*$  by gradient descent

• Distortion can be measured by other norms:

```
(e.g., \ell_{\infty}, Elastic net, ...)
```

• Black-box setting: Only part of the information is available

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○ 19/29

## Score-based Attack

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ ♪ < □ } < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □ ∧ < □

## Score-based Setting

- In practice, the deep network parameters are not revealed to attackers cannot compute gradient
- Score-based setting: Attacker can query the model and get the score vector



• Example: CIFAR10 image classification  $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{10}, f(\mathbf{x})_i$ : predicted score of class *i* 

#### Zeroth Order Optimization based Attack (ZOO)

• We can solve the following problem without access to parameters:

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|^2 + \lambda \cdot g(\mathbf{x})$$

• Estimate gradient:

$$\hat{g}_i pprox rac{\partial f(oldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_i} pprox rac{f(oldsymbol{x} + \delta oldsymbol{e}_i) - f(oldsymbol{x} - \delta oldsymbol{e}_i)}{2\delta}$$

#### Zeroth Order Optimization based Attack (ZOO)

• We can solve the following problem without access to parameters:

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|^2 + \lambda \cdot g(\mathbf{x})$$

• Estimate gradient:

$$\hat{g}_i pprox rac{\partial f(oldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_i} pprox rac{f(oldsymbol{x} + \delta oldsymbol{e}_i) - f(oldsymbol{x} - \delta oldsymbol{e}_i)}{2\delta}$$

• zero-order gradient descent

$$oldsymbol{x} \leftarrow oldsymbol{x} - \eta \left( egin{array}{c} \hat{g}_1 \ dots \ \hat{g}_d \end{array} 
ight)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ● ■ ⑦ Q ○ 22/29

(Chen et al., 2017)

## **Decision-based Attack**

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ り < ○ 23/29

#### Decision-based Setting

- In practice, the attacker may only have access to the predicted label
- Decision-based setting: Attacker can query the model and get the predicted label



• Example: CIFAR10 image classification  $y \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ , K: total number of classes

### Substitute Attack

• Intuition: adversarial examples transfer across models



Figure from link

- Train a substitute model using a small amount of training data.
- Generate adversarial examples based on the substitute model. (Papernot et al., 2017)

## Boundary Attack



<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ ○ ♀ ♡ ♀ ♡ 26/29

- Start as a random example from a target class.
- Perform rejection sampling along the boundary. (Brendel et al., 2018)

## Attack in Physical World













#### Attack in Physical World



## Conclusions

- Adversarial examples
- Different types of adversarial attacks

# Questions?